

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/730,090	PARK, JEA-WOO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Corey M. Broussard	2835

All Participants:

Status of Application: Finally Rejected

(1) Corey M. Broussard. (3) ____.

(2) Paul Daebeler (Reg#35852). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006

Time: 11:30am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) over claims 1-13

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Takahama (6,119,184), Naghi (5,547,399)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Applicant and proposed reopening prosecution. The Examiner notified the Applicant that the current rejection would be withdrawn and a new 103(a) rejection would be applied over Takahama in view of Naghi. Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the limitations of claims 8 and 13 into the independent claims to overcome the rejection and possibly place the claims in condition for allowance. The Applicant refused and requested a Non-Final Office Action on the merits.